

A Brief Summary of Logic and Reasoning

Logic is the study of the principles or rules for valid & consistent reasoning. (Webster's Dictionary)

Reasoning is the capacity for rational thought, inference, or discrimination. (Webster's Dictionary)

Types of Reasoning:

Inductive Reasoning: (Specific to General) Many examples leading to a general conclusion.

Example: Many examples **leading** (convincing) to a general conclusion.

Deductive Reasoning: (General to Specific) General statement leading to a specific conclusions.

Example: A sequence of steps **leading** (convincing) to a specific conclusion

Types of Deductive Statements: ($\triangleleft \triangleright$ means not equal)

If (Given Information) **then** (Statement to be Proved)

Hypothesis

Conclusion

Conditional	If $A = B$	Then $A + C = B + C$
Converse	If $A + C = B + C$	Then $A = B$
Inverse	If $A \triangleleft B$	Then $A + C \triangleleft B + C$
Contrapositive:	If $A + C \triangleleft B + C$	Then $A \triangleleft B$

Rectangle of Reason implies that *proving two diagonal statements proves all four statements.*

Converse	Conditional
Contrapositive	Inverse

Types of Logical Situations:

Dichotomy Situation: (Only Two Possibilities)

Equal **or** Not Equal

True **or** False

Guilty **or** Innocent

Male **or** Female

Trichotomy Situation: (Only Three Possibilities)

Greater Than Equal Less Than

Always Sometimes Never

True Maybe False

Guilty Innocent No Contest

Types of Proofs: (**Definition of Proof:** Evidence or agrument **establishing** the truth of a statement.)

Direct Proof: Proves the Original Statement to be True.

Proof by Testimonial

Proof by Analogy

Proof by Induction

Proof by Deduction

(TV Commercials use **which type of proofs** to convince you to buy their product.)

Indirect Proof: Proves the Alternate Statement to be False.

To use an Indirect Proof, one has to establish a Dichotomy or Trichotomy. (☺)

(**Many trial lawyers** try to convince jury **Guilty is not possible** thus **Innocent is true.**)

Many trial lawyers try to prove using **Induction** since many cases proves one case!

Reference: *The Teaching of Mathematics from Counting to Calculus*, Harold P. Fawcett and Kenneth B. Cummins